

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/066,361	01/31/2002	Louis Bigo	CU-2826	2484	
7:	590 05/01/2003				
Richard J. Streit Ladas & Parry Suite 1200			EXAMINER		
			LOPEZ, FRANK D		
224 South Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3-,			3745		
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			4		MA.			
		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			066,361	BIGO ET AL.				
			miner	Art Unit				
			Daniel Lopez	3745				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ldress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Inunication. s0) days, a reply within latutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thi ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b) This act	tion is non-final.					
3) Disposition	Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the pracon of Claims				ne merits is			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn fro	om consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖾 🗅	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)🛛 🗆	he drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2</u>	<u>2002</u> is/are: a)⊡	accepted or b) 🛛 obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any ob	-		• •				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are re							
	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er.					
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received in A	Application No				
	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Interrete the attached detailed Office action 	national Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim t	or domestic pric	ority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign lancknowledgment is made of a claim							
Attachment		·						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/066,361

Art Unit: 3745

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first and second calibrated passageways connecting the outlet port to respective first and second inlet ports must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Throughout the specification "pressure-free reservoir" (e.g. page 7 line 20) should be –atmospheric pressure reservoir--, since the pertinent prior art does not evacuate the fluid reservoirs. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Throughout the claims "pressure-free reservoir" (e.g. claim 1 line 10-11) should be –atmospheric pressure reservoir-- (see objection to the specification above). In claim 5 line 17-19 "means acting...to close off said communication means" should be –the communication valve...to close off the communication means--, since the communication valve (from claim 1 line 9-10) is the means.

The preamble of claim 1 claims a replenishing device, and includes details of a motor, a pump and two main pipes, with the main body of the claim including details of the replenishing valve and details of the main pipes. It is unclear if the limitations of the motor and pump are intended use and therefore do not limit the invention or not. In the dependent claims (e.g. claim 3), where the limitations of the pump and/or motor are

Application/Control Number: 10/066,361 Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

specifically mentioned, the preamble is confusing, since they only claim the replenishing device, but is really claiming the closed circuit between the pump and motor. It is suggested that the preamble be changed to –A closed circuit...--.

Claim 6 and 11-15 claim details only shown in the first embodiment (fig 2, with claim 15 supported by pages 15-16), but depend from claim 1, which claims details not in the first embodiment. Claim 1 line 14-15 claims "an inlet suitable for being connected to the main pipe that is at the lower pressure", which is shown by the inlet (194 of figure 5) of the second embodiment. But the first embodiment (figure 2) does not show an inlet that is connected to the main pipe that is at the lower pressure. Instead it shows two inlets (94, 94'), each of which is connected to a respective main pipe, when the respective main pipe is at the lower pressure. Claim 6 claims the order of the ports is first inlet port (connected to 12), outlet port (66) and second inlet port (connected to 14), which is the order of the first embodiment, but not the order of the second embodiment. Claims 11-14 claim first and second pressure thresholds to operate the communication valve, when the first and second main pipes, respectively, are connected to the communication valve by the replenishing valve, which is shown in the first embodiment by different strength springs (104, 106, e.g. page 15 line 29-34), but not in the second embodiment (since there is only one spring, 204, controlling the communication valve). Claim 15 claims first and second constrictions interposed between the inlet and outlet, when the first and second main pipes, respectively, are connected to the inlet, which is shown by the first embodiment (by different constrictions for the different inlets), but is not shown by the second embodiment. Since claim 1 has a limitation that is not shown by the first embodiment and claims 6 and 11-15 have limitations only shown in the first embodiment, claims 6 and 11-15 are not supported by the specification.

Claims not specifically mentioned are indefinite, since they depend from one of the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/066,361

Art Unit: 3745

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kersten et al (these claims are treated as if the pump and motor limitations are intended use and therefore is given no patentable weight, See 112 rejection above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kersten et al in view of Weisenbach. Kersten et al discloses a closed circuit comprising a hydraulic motor (15) connected to a hydraulic pump (11) by first and second main pipes (13, 14), and a replenishing device, including a replenishing selector (24) and a communication valve (26) united in the same replenishing valve unit (i.e. same valve body), having an inlet (68) connected to one of the main pipes, which is at a lower pressure, wherein the communication valve allows the inlet to communicate with an outlet (e.g. 69), when pressure at the inlet reaches a given pressure threshold; and the outlet communicates with a reservoir (31); but does not disclose that an internal space of the hydraulic motor is connected between the replenishing unit and the reservoir.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,361 Page 5

Art Unit: 3745

Weisenbach teaches, for a closed circuit comprising a hydraulic motor (4) connected to a hydraulic pump (1) by first and second main pipes (7, 8), and a replenishing device, including a replenishing selector (22) and a communication valve (21), having an inlet (29) connected to one of the main pipes, which is at a lower pressure, wherein the communication valve allows the inlet to communicate with an outlet, when pressure at the inlet reaches a given pressure threshold; and the outlet communicates with a reservoir (12); that an internal space of the hydraulic motor is connected between the replenishing unit and the reservoir (column 2 line 22-24), for the purpose of cooling parts of the motor (column 2 line 26-28).

Since Kersten et al and Weisenbach are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Weisenbach would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Kersten et al. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to connect an internal space of the hydraulic motor of Kersten et al between the replenishing unit and the reservoir, as taught by Weisenbach, for the purpose of cooling parts of the motor.

Claims 2 and 4, respectively, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kersten et al, and Kersten et al in view of Weisenbach, as applied to claim 3 above, respectively, and further in view of Gollner. Kersten et al and the modified Kersten et al discloses all of the elements of claims 2 and 4, respectively; but does not disclose that a constriction is interposed between the inlet and outlet, when the communication valve allows the inlet and outlet to communicate.

Gollner teaches, for a closed circuit comprising a hydraulic motor (15) connected to a hydraulic pump (12) by first and second main pipes (A, B), and a replenishing device, including a replenishing selector (16) and a communication valve (17, 19), having an inlet (29) connected to one of the main pipes, which is at a lower pressure, wherein the communication valve allows the inlet to communicate with an outlet, without a constriction (e.g. fig 1), when pressure at the inlet reaches a given pressure threshold; and the outlet communicates with a reservoir; the equivalence of interposing a constriction (20, fig 2) between the inlet and outlet, when the communication valve

Application/Control Number: 10/066,361

Art Unit: 3745

allows the inlet and outlet to communicate, for the purpose of more easily setting a quantity of fluid to be flushed through the replenishing device (column 1 line 25-30). Since Gollner teaches the equivalence of including and not including a constriction between the inlet and the outlet, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to interpose a constriction between the inlet and outlet of the modified Kersten et al, when the communication valve allows the inlet and outlet to communicate, as taught by Gollner, for the purpose of more easily setting a quantity of fluid to be flushed through the replenishing device

Conclusion

Claims 7, 8 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 308-0008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM -4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

F. Daniel Lopez

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745 April 29, 2003